Parma Town Board meeting held on Tuesday, December 18, 2012 at the Parma Town Hall, 1300 Hilton Parma Corners Road, Hilton, New York.

ATTENDANCE

Supervisor Carmey Carmestro
Councilperson James Smith

Councilperson James Smith
Councilperson Gary Comardo
Councilperson James Roose
Councilperson Tina Brown

Highway Superintendent
Recreation Director
Building Inspector

Brian Speer
Steve Fowler
Jack Barton

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Recreation Commissioner Art Cosgrove, Library Director Becky Tantillo, Jacob Johnson. Harry Bostley, Helen Ives, Mike Weldon, Sr., Carol Kluth, Ed Arnold, Stan Hoy, Mike Clark, Attorney for the Town Peter Rodgers and other unidentified members of the public.

CALL TO ORDER

Supervisor Carmestro called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. and lead those present in the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag, followed by a moment of silence. Supervisor Carmestro noted emergency exit procedures and that the meeting was being recorded.

<u>PUBLIC HEARING – TOWN CODE CHANGES</u>

Supervisor Carmestro opened the public hearing at 6:34 p.m. He asked that anyone who wished to speak identify themselves and limit their time to three minutes. After a brief discussion on what the code changes were, the legal notice was read.

Edward Arnold stated that he did not understand why the size of parking spaces was being reduced. It appears to him that for every ten spaces one additional space would be gained. Supervisor Carmestro asked Mr. Barton to respond. Mr. Barton explained that Parma has larger spaces than some municipalities and that our Town Code was reviewed as part of a green initiative which recommended less impervious space and more green space. The report identified that the size of parking spaces could be reduced to nine feet by eighteen feet. He noted that the purpose of the change was not to get more parking but to create more "green" space.

Mr. Arnold asked what prompted the farm stand changes. The change is intended to clarify what is already in the code and specifically addresses the end of a growing season and a definitive cut off of December 1st. Portable stands are required to be moved behind the house by that time.

Supervisor Carmestro asked if there was anyone else that would like to address the Town Board on this topic. There was no response. The public hearing was closed at 6:40 p.m. He noted that the legal was published in the Suburban News and posted on the website and the

Town Hall Bulletin Board. A response was not received from Monroe County Planning and Development as of the meeting and there were no responses from the Towns of Hamlin, Clarkson, Sweden, Ogden and Greece and the Village of Hilton as interested parties. He noted this is a Type 1 action and the Town Board proceeded to review Part 1 of the Environmental Assessment Form. Mr. Barton read the questions and the corresponding responses. There were no questions regarding Part 1 and the Board proceeded to review Part 2. (See Schedule B Environmental Assessment Form at end of minutes)

After a brief discussion on whether to make a determination on SEQR tonight as we have not heard back from Monroe County Planning and Development and it will allow time to consider any input, Supervisor Carmestro stated that the Town Board would not make a determination on SEQR or a decision on the proposed Town Code changes until the January 15, 2013 meeting.

Councilperson Smith noted that some road side farm stands are still up. The question was raised what determines what is permanent. Mr. Barton responded if moveable it would be temporary. The regulations for what can be put up and where are addressed as part of the code and was discussed and looked at by the Zoning Board last year.

PUBLIC FORUM

Supervisor Carmestro asked if there was any citizen present who would like to address the Town Board on any topic not on the agenda. There was no response.

MINUTES – DECEMBER 4, 2012

RESOLUTION NO. 290-2012 Motion by Councilperson Brown, seconded by Councilperson Comardo, to accept the Minutes of the December 4, 2012 meeting.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

TOWN CLERK REPORT

The Town Clerk noted she was present for the bid opening for the proposed Joint Salt Shed. It was reported that the office is prepping for the upcoming 2013 Town and County Tax collection and have been working on a large scanning project for the Code Enforcement Office.

HIGHWAY DEPARTMENT REPORT

Supt. Speer reported that he has the salt shed bid results and will provide the Board with copies. LaBella is reviewing them. It was noted that bids were received for the electrical portion of the project and there is the possibility that we may do the electrical ourselves. Supt. Speer reported he has hired Ty Kelly to work the B shift on the weekends. He indicated he has always had someone to do this and the other person will not be doing it this year. He expects he will start this weekend. Supt. Speer also said he attempted to get final numbers for the bridge projects. The Engineer and contractor are still negotiating for quantities so he did not have them for tonight's meeting. He will provide to the Supervisor and Finance Director when he gets them.

An invoice was just received from Fisher Associates for the Collamer Road Bridge Project for \$15,000. Personnel are getting ready for winter.

Councilperson Smith inquired about the salt from last spring and if we had to pay for it then. Supt. Speer reported that we have just finished paying for the 70% we were required to purchase from last year. The question was raised as to where it will be stored. Supt. Speer explained he will be gauging what we have with the hope that nothing will be left when they start the new salt shed. If there is a quantity left, it will be shipped off to other highway departments to be stored and then returned.

BUILDING REPORT

Mr. Barton did not have anything specific to report. He introduced Barbara Johnston from Stuart Brown Associates, who has helped with our zoning code changes. She has worked with our code since 1998.

RECREATION DEPARTMENT REPORT

Mr. Fowler noted that Ms. Johnston has also been instrumental in the consolidated grant application for the waste water project at the Town Hall and Park. Mr. Fowler reported the department produces an annual programming matrix that charts the number and diversity of the programs offered; volunteers utilized and their hours; and the facilities where programs operate. He reviewed some of the highlights of that report which will be included in their annual report. Mr. Fowler was asked to pursue electronic avenues for distributing the department's annual report. He also noted that the Town Board has received information pertaining to replacing the department's 1998 Ford E350 van.

LIBRARY REPORT

Library Director, Becky Tantillo, provided Library statistics for November which included hosting 7,087 visitors, a variety of programming venues. The third annual gingerbread house display is on view in the main window. The public can vote and prizes have been provided by the Hilton Pharmacy. There has been a good response from recently added adult programming. The Supervisor inquired how many people in the count are repeat visitors. Ms. Tantillo noted that the count is done by a door counter and felt it would be a good survey question to add to a possible strategic planning survey. It is hard to gauge the frequency of visitors, as some come weekly or every three weeks when books are due.

BUSINESS ITEMS

NYS RETIREMENT TRANSFER CHECK

RESOLUTION NO. 291-2012 Motion by Councilperson Comardo, seconded by Councilperson Brown, to authorize a check for \$8,760.00 to go into the Worker Compensation Reserve account.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

ROAD DEDICATION – GAILS TRAIL

RESOLUTION NO. 292-2012 Motion by Councilperson Roose, seconded by Councilperson Smith,

WHEREAS, a certain parcel of land located in the Gail's Trail Subdivision, Section 2, more particularly described on Exhibit "A" attached hereto, has been offered for dedication to the Town of Parma (the "Town") for highway purposes by the Estate of James R. Northrup (the "Owner") of said land as shown on a map of Gail's Trail Subdivision, Section 2, filed in the Monroe County Clerk's Office in Liber 315 of Maps, page 78, and

WHEREAS, the Town Highway Superintendent has recommended acceptance of said parcel for dedication for highway purposes and the roadway having been completed in accordance with the Town specifications, and

WHEREAS, the Town Attorney has ordered a fee title insurance policy relating thereto,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Town Board of the Town of Parma in regular session duly convened herein agrees to accept the deed of dedication on the said road, to be known as Gail's Trail and directs the Town Clerk to record the same in the Monroe County Clerk's Office.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

(See Exhibit A at end of minutes)

PAYMENT FOR NEW YORK STATE RETIREMENT

Payment has been requested for the New York State Retirement so the Town can take advantage of a \$3,255 savings by paying now.

RESOLUTION NO. 293-2012 Motion by Councilperson Smith, seconded by Councilperson Brown, to authorize payment of \$358,459 for the Pension Contribution to New York State Retirement.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

OGDEN-PARMA INTERMUNICIPAL AGREEMENT BUILDING DEPARMENT SERVICES

Supervisor Carmestro noted that the agreement worked well this year and feedback from Mr. Prince and Mr. Barton was good. It was noted that payments are received on time, the Town is reimbursed for overtime incurred as a result of that work, mileage when Town vehicles are used and a portion of the health insurance is also paid for in addition to wages.

RESOLUTION NO. 294-2012 Motion by Councilperson Roose, seconded by Councilperson Comardo, to approve the 2013 Ogden-Parma Intermunicipal Agreement for Building Department Services by the Town of Parma for the Town of Ogden.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION TO REAPPOINT DON WELLS - 6 YEAR TERM

RESOLUTION NO. 295-2012 Motion by Councilperson Smith, seconded by Councilperson Roose, to reappoint Don Wells as Assessor for the Town of Parma for another six year term, effective October 1, 2013 and with the ending of his current term, September 30, 2013.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

BUDGET TRANSFERS

Councilperson Smith inquired if the numbers equal what is being requested for transfer. Supt. Speer responded that it does equal. Supervisor Carmestro stated that we will need to look at how we address the work done for sewer districts.

| TRANSFERS TO BU | DGET | | | Dec-12 | | |
|-----------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | FROM | | | ТО | | |
| ACCT# | DESCRIPTION | AMT. | ACCT# | DESCRIPTION | AMT. | REQUESTED |
| BOO537362048000 | MISC EXPENSES | 1,400.00 | BOO537362046000 | CONTRACTED SERVICES | 1,170.00 | GAVIGAN |
| | | | BOO537362045100 | GASOLINE | 230.00 | GAVIGAN |
| AOO570731048932 | FOOTBALL CAMP | 50.00 | AOO570731040002 | ACHERY | 50.00 | GAVIGAN |
| AOO570731048932 | FOOTBALL CAMP | 450.00 | AOO570731049842 | OTHER PROGRAMS | 450.00 | GAVIGAN |
| DBO550513011000 | FULL TIME | 689.33 | DBO550513012000 | PART TIME | 90.00 | SPEER |
| | | | DBO550513013000 | OVERTIME | 599.33 | SPEER |
| DAO550514245200 | VEHICLE MAINT | 900.00 | DAO550514248100 | CLOTHING ALLOWANCE | 900.00 | SPEER |
| AOO550501013000 | CLERK | 2,500.00 | AOO550501012000 | CLERK III | 2,500.00 | SPEER |
| AOO550513244100 | GAS & ELECTRIC | 5,000.00 | AOO550513246000 | CONTRACTED SERVICES | 5,000.00 | SPEER |
| BOO59999091100 | BRUSH PICK UP | 20,942.00 | DBO5031001 | BRUSH PICK UP | 20,942.00 | SPEER |
| S0159999019000 | ALL SEASONS | 2,056.00 | DBO599503104 | SEWER REVENUE | 21,410.00 | SPEER |
| S0259999019000 | COUNTRY VILLAGE | 1,295.00 | | | | |
| S0359999019000 | DUNBER ROAD EAST | 514.00 | | | | |
| S0759999019000 | GIOIA | 900.00 | | | | |
| S0859999019000 | HEILMANN | 948.00 | | | | |
| S0959999019000 | MERCY | 3,217.00 | | | | |
| S0459999019000 | DUNBER ROAD SOUTH | 515.00 | | | | |
| S1059999019000 | PAYNE BEACH | 2,165.00 | | | | |
| S1159999019000 | SALMON CREEK | 2,588.00 | | | | |
| S1459999019000 | WEST HILL | 2,321.00 | | | | |
| S1759999019000 | WILDER | 2,275.00 | | | | |
| S1959999019000 | WILDER 4 | 1,066.00 | | | | |
| S2059999019000 | WILDER 5 | 533.00 | | | | |
| S2159999019000 | WILDER 6-10 | 533.00 | | | | |
| S2259999019000 | NORTH AVE | 484.00 | | | | |

RESOLUTION NO. 296-2012 Motion by Councilperson Smith, seconded by Councilperson Brown, to approve the Budget Transfers as presented.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

None

LIAISON REPORTS

- **Councilperson Brown reported the requested equipment needs list for the Court Officer Attendants and the Special Police has been received from the Court Officer Attendants but not the Special Police. A meeting was held last week with the Village regarding the Recreation Department lease. Mr. Fowler will work with them on how to make the facility more conducive to the Recreation Department needs.
- ** Councilperson Comardo noted that there had not been a zoning meeting since our last meeting but they would be meeting this Thursday.
- **Councilperson Smith reported the Parks Department is having a forester from the DEC out on January 2nd to look at the woods in the Town Park to determine if there would be any value in having it commercially logged. They have done a survey of electrical and plumbing costs for the past year both have been under \$1,500. Councilperson Smith has asked Parks staff to obtain quotes for any routine plumbing and electrical jobs estimated to be over \$500.
- **Councilperson Roose reported there had been two planning Board meetings since we last met. The Doan project received preliminary and final approvals; preliminary approval was given for two lots in the Jacobs Subdivision on Bailey Road (133 Bailey Road); and the first phase of the phasing plan for Dome (4618 Ridge Road) passed.
- **Supervisor Carmestro reported that John Sweeney was elected as the new Fire Commissioner in the Hilton Parma Fire District for a five year term.

Supervisor Carmestro stated that the Town Board would enter into an executive session after bills are paid and there would be no other business.

WARRANT

RESOLUTION NO. 297-2012 Motion by Councilperson Brown, seconded by Councilperson Comardo, to approve payment of AOO General Fund bills, in the amount of \$142,763.73.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION NO. 298-2012 Motion by Councilperson Comardo, seconded by Councilperson Smith, to approve payment of BOO Part Town Fund bills, in the amount of \$5,194.52.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION NO. 299-2012 Motion by Councilperson Smith, seconded by Councilperson Roose, to approve payment of DAO Highway, Townwide Fund bills, in the amount of \$53,541.26.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION NO. 300-2012 Motion by Councilperson Roose, seconded by Councilperson Brown, to approve payment of HDO Town Bridges bills, in the amount of \$21,328.05.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION NO. 301-2012 Motion by Councilperson Brown, seconded by

Councilperson Comardo, to approve payment of DBO Highway, Townwide Fund bills, in the amount of \$54,952.88.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION NO. 302-2012 Motion by Councilperson Comardo, seconded by

Councilperson Smith, to approve payment of SDO Townwide Drainage bills, in the amount of \$156.00.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

RESOLUTION NO. 303-2012 Motion by Councilperson Smith, seconded by

Councilperson Roose, to approve payment of TAO Trust and Agency bills, in

the amount of \$5,014.29.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

ENTER INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION

<u>RESOLUTION NO. 304-2012</u> Motion by Councilperson Smith, seconded by

Councilperson Comardo, to enter into Executive Session to discuss a personnel matter.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

The Board entered into executive session at 7:25 p.m. after a short break so that people could leave.

CLOSE EXECUTIVE SESSION

RESOLUTION NO. 305-2012 Motion by Councilman Smith, seconded by Councilman Comardo, to close the Executive Session at 8:10 p.m. and return to regular session.

Motion approved: Aye 5 Nay 0

There being no further business brought before the Town Board, Councilperson Comardo made a motion to adjourn the meeting at 8:13 p.m., seconded by Councilperson Brown and all were in favor.

Respectfully submitted,

Donna K. Curry Parma Town Clerk

EXHIBIT A

DESCRIPTION OF GAILS TRAIL TO BE DEDICATED

All that tract or parcel of land situate in the Town of Parma, County of Monroe and State of New York, being part of Town Lot 24 in the Gore Tract in the southerly portion of Township No. 4, Range 1, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at a point on the southerly right-of-way of Pine Hill Road, said point being the northeasterly corner of Lot 3 as shown on said map of Gail's Trail Subdivision, said point also being the intersection of the southerly right-of-way of Pine Hill Road and the westerly line of Gails Trail, as shown on a map of Gail's Trail Subdivision, Section Two filed in the Monroe County Clerk's Office in Liber 315 of maps, Page 78, thence;

- 1) S 85°30'07" E and along the southerly right-of-way of Pine Hill Road a distance of 60.00 feet to a point, said point being the northwesterly corner of said Lot 8, said point also being on the easterly right-of-way of Gails Trail, as shown on said map, thence:
- S 05°00'18" W and along the easterly line of said Gails Trail a distance of 152.71 feet to a point of curvature, thence;
- 3) Southerly on a curve to the right formed by a radius of 180.00 feet, a central angle of 30°17'07" and an arc length of 95.14 feet to a point of reverse curvature, thence;
- 4) Southerly on a curve to the left formed by a radius of 120.00 feet, a central angle of 31"30'47" and an arc length of 66.00 feet to a point of tangency, thence;
- 5) S 03°46'38" W and along the easterly right-of-way of Gails Trail a distance of 90.09 feet to a point, thence;
- 6) N $86^{\circ}13'22"$ W and along the southerly right-of-way of Gails Trail a distance of 60.00 feet to a point, thence;
- 7) N 03°46'38" E and along the westerly right-of-way of Gails Trail a distance of 15.05 feet to a point, thence;
- 8) Continuing northerly and westerly along the westerly right-of-way of said Gails Trail on a curve to the left formed by a radius of 15.00 feet, a central angle of 90°00'00" and an arc length of 23.56 feet to a point, thence;
- N 86°13'22" W and along the westerly right-of-way of said Gails Trail a distance of 14.20' feet to a point, thence;
 - 10) N 03°46'38" E and along the westerly right-of-way of Gails Trail a distance of 60.00 feet to a point, thence;
- 11) S 86°13'22" E and along the westerly right-of-way of Gails Trail a distance of 14.78' feet to a point, thence;
- 12) Continuing easterly and northerly along the westerly right-of-way of said Gails Trail on a curve to the left formed by a radius of 15.00 feet, a central angle of 85°36'00" and an arc length of 22.41 feet to a point of reverse curvature, thence;
- 13) Northerly on a curve to the right formed by a radius of 180.00 feet, a central angle of 27°06'47" and an arc length of 85.18 feet to a point of reverse curvature, thence;
- 14) Northerly on a curve to the left formed by a radius of 120.00 feet, a central angle of 30°17'07" and an arc length of 63.43 feet to a point of tangency, thence;
- 15) N 05°00'18" E and along the westerly line of said Gails Trail a distance of 152.18 feet to the point and place of beginning.

Intending to convey the right-of-way of Gails Trail, as shown on a map of Gails Trail Subdivision, Section Two, filed in the Monroe County Clerks Office in Liber 315 of Maps, Page 78.

SCHEDULE B

PART 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

Prepared by Project Sponsor

NOTICE. This document is designed to assist in determining whether the action proposed may have a significant effect on the environment. Please complete the entire form, Parts A through E. Answers to these questions will be considered as part of the application for approval and may be subject to further verification and public review. Provide any additional information you believe will be needed to complete Parts 2 and 3.

It is expected that completion of the full EAF will be dependent on information currently available and will not involve new studies, research or investigation. If information requiring such additional work is unavailable, so indicate and specify each instance.

| Adoption of revisions to the Parma Town Code LOCATION OF ACTION (include Street Address, Municipality and County) | | |
|---|--|---|
| Town of Parma | | |
| NAME OF APPLICANT/SPONSOR | BUSINE | SS TELEPHONE |
| Town of Parma | | 92-4560 |
| ADDRESS | | |
| P.O. Box 728, 1300 Hilton-Parma Corners Road | | |
| CITY/PO | STATE | ZIP CODE |
| NAME OF OWNER (if different) | BUSINESS | TELEPHONE |
| ADDRESS | | |
| ADDRESS | | |
| CITY/PO | STATE | ZIP CODE |
| Hilton | NY | |
| DESCRIPTION OF ACTION | NI NI | 14468 |
| The Parma Town Board proposes to amend Town Code provisions relating roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose the Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable | residential districts; se i; fences in non-reside on of front setbacks; ar | elf-storage intial districts; the |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose ase Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. | residential districts; so is fences in non-reside on of front setbacks; are ad changes is attached | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose asse Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. | residential districts; so is fences in non-reside on of front setbacks; are ad changes is attached | elf-storage intial districts; the |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose ase Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: | residential districts; so is fences in non-reside on of front setbacks; are ad changes is attached | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose see Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: | residential districts; sets; fences in non-reside on of front setbacks; and changes is attached changes is attached sidential (suburban) | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose ase Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: | residential districts; so; fences in non-reside on of front setbacks; and changes is attached to the setbacks and changes is attached sidential (suburban) | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose arease Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Reservational Reservations Agriculture Other Cotal acreage of project 27,650 | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre acre acre acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose are Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre acre acre acre acre acre acre acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose asse Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Resident Agriculture Other (otal acreage of project 27,650 acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* (cultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) and (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24, 25 of ECL) er Surface Area epetated (Rock, earth or fill) | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre acre acre acre acre acre acre acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose are Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Res Forest Agriculture Other fotal acreage of project 27,650 acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* idow or Brushland (Non-agricultural) issted cultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) land (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24, 25 of ECL) er Surface Area gestated (Rock, earth or fill) ds. buildings and other pawed surfaces | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre acre acre acre acre acre acre acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computatio Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose ase Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Res Forest Agriculture Other ordal acreage of project 27,650 acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* dow or Brushland (Non-agricultural) sisted cultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) land (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24, 25 of ECL) er Surface Area getated (Rock, earth or fill) sts. buildings and other paved surfaces | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre acre acre acre acre acre acre acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose are Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Res Profest Agriculture Other fotal acreage of project 27,650 acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* acres. (approximate) Entire Town acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* acres. (approximate) Entire Town acres. (approximate) Entire Town PROXIMATE ACREAGE* acres. (approximate) Entire Town Agricultural (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) land (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24, 25 of ECL) acres. (approximate) Entire Town HI-Hilton Loam, CI-Collamer Silt Loam, What is predominant soil type(s) on project site? | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acre acre acre acre acre acre acre acre |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose ase Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Res Present land use: Orban Industrial Commercial Res foral acreage of project Prost Agriculture Other fotal acreage of project 27,650 acres. (approximate) Entire Town acres. (approximate) Entire Town entoword (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) land (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24, 25 of ECL) er Surface Area egetated (Rock, earth or fill) ds. buildings and other paved surfaces er (indicate type) What is predominant soil type(s) on project site? HI-Hilton Loam, CI-Collamer Sith Loam, Sith | PRESENTLY acres | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acres |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose asset Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban | PRESENTLY acres ac | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acres |
| roadside stands; minimum size of parking spaces; portable storage units in facilities in commercial districts; size and setbacks for certain types of signs expiration of certificates of compliance for vacant structures; the computation Code Enforcement Officer in fire investigations A summary of the propose ase Complete Each Question-Indicate N.A. if not applicable SITE DESCRIPTION sical setting of overall project both developed and undeveloped areas. Present land use: Urban Industrial Commercial Res Present land use: Orban Industrial Commercial Res foral acreage of project Prost Agriculture Other fotal acreage of project 27,650 acres. (approximate) Entire Town acres. (approximate) Entire Town entoword (Includes orchards, cropland, pasture, etc.) land (Freshwater or tidal as per Articles 24, 25 of ECL) er Surface Area egetated (Rock, earth or fill) ds. buildings and other paved surfaces er (indicate type) What is predominant soil type(s) on project site? HI-Hilton Loam, CI-Collamer Sith Loam, Sith | PRESENTLY acres ac | elf-storage intial districts; the nd the role of the d. Rural (non-farm) AFTER COMPLETION acres |

| 5 | Approximate percentage of proposed project site with slopes 🛛 0-10% 95 % 🖂 10-15% 4 % |
|-----|---|
| 6 | |
| 7 | Is project substantially contiguous to a site fisted on the Register of National Natural Landmarks: Yes No |
| | What is the depth of the water table? Varies (in feet) |
| 9 | is site located over a primary, principal, or sole source aquifer? |
| | Do bunting ficking or shall fall |
| | Do hunting, fishing or shell fishing opportunities presently exist in the project area? |
| 11 | Does project site contain any species of plant or animal life that is identified as threatened or ondangered? Yes No According to David C. Woodruff, NYSDEC Region 8 (1998) |
| | Identify each species Black term, Pirate perch, Lake Chubsucker, Pawpaw |
| 12 | Are there any unique or unusual land forms on the project site? (i.e., cliffs, dunes, other geological formations) |
| - | Yes |
| | |
| 13 | is the project site presently used by the community or neighborhood as an open space or recreation area? Yes No if yes explain Active: Town Park and at schools and Village of Hiller facilities. |
| | Yes No If yes explain Active: Town Park and at schools and Village of Hilton facilities. Passive: on private lands and along streams and Lake Ontario Shoreline |
| 14 | Does the present site include scenic views known to be important to the community? |
| | Yes No |
| 15 | Streams within or contiguous the project area. |
| | a Name of Stream and name of River to which it is tributary Major streams: West Croek and Otis Creek tributaries to Salmon |
| | Creek, a tributary to Braddock Bay/Lake Ontario; Black Creek and Northrup Creek, tributaries to Long Pond/Lake Ontario; Buttonwood Creek tributary to Braddock Bay/Lake Ontario; East Creek tributary to Lake Ontario |
| | OTEN HIDDRIY TO STAUGUCK BAYILARE ONTAINS, East Greek Industry to Lake Ontario |
| 16. | Lakes, ponds, wetland areas within or contiguous to project area |
| 29 | a Name PM-1 to PM20, OG-1, OG-2, GR-1, Lake Ontario b. Size (in acres) > 500 acres |
| 17 | Is the site served by existing public utilities? |
| | a) If Yes, does sufficient capacity exist to allow connection? |
| | b) If Yes, will improvements be necessary to allow connection? |
| 18 | is the site located in an agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? Yes No Northwest Agricultural District |
| 19 | Is the site located in or substantially contiguous to a Critical Environmental Area designated pursuant to Article 8 of the ECL, and 6 NYCRR617? Yes No |
| | |
| U | Has the site even been used for the disposal of solid or hazardous wastes? ✓ Yes ✓ No (See Narrative) |
| 2 | PROJECT DESCRIPTION Not Applicable (Project is not site specific). See Normaline |
| | PROJECT DESCRIPTION Not Applicable (Project is not site-specific) – See Narrative Physical dimensions and scale of project (fill in dimensions as appropriate) |
| | |
| | Total configuous acreage owned or controlled by project sponsor acres. |
| b | acres unimately. |
| | Project acreage to remain undeveloped acres |
| | Length of project, in miles (If appropriate) |
| | If the project is an expansion, indicate percent of expansion proposed %. Number of off-street parking spaces: Existing Proposed |
| | |
| | Maximum vehicular trips generated per hour (upon completion of project)? If residential. Number and type of housing units |
| | One-Family Two Family Multiple Family Condominium |
| | ntally |
| | limately |
| | Dimensions (in feet) of largest proposed structure height; width; length |
| I | Linear feet of frontage along a public thoroughfare project will occupy is? |

| 2. How much natural material (i.e., rock, earth, etc.) will be removed from the site? | tons/cubic yards |
|--|------------------------------------|
| | No No |
| 4. How many acres of vegetation (trees, shrubs, ground covers) will be removed from site | ? acres. |
| 5. Will any mature forest (over 100 years old) or other locally-important vegetation be rem | oved by this project? Yes No |
| 6. If single phase project. Anticipated period of construction | manths, (including demolition). |
| 7. If multi-phased. | |
| a Total number of phases anticipated b. Anticipated date of commencement phase 1 month c. Approximate completion date of final phase month d. Is phase 1 functionally dependent on subsequent phases? | year (including demolition). year. |
| 8. Will blasting occur during construction? | |
| 9 Number of jobs generated: during construction after project | is completed |
| 10 Number of jobs eliminated by the project | |
| 11. Will project require relocation of any projects or facilities? | No If yes, explain |
| 12. Is surface liquid waste disposal involved? | |
| b. Name of water body into which effluent will be discharged 13. Is subsurface liquid waste disposal involved? Yes No 14. Will surface area of an existing water body increase or decrease by proposal? | Type |
| 15 Is project or any portion of project located in a 100 year flood plain? | □ No |
| 16. Will the project generate solid waste? | |
| a. If yes, what is the amount per month b. If yes, will an existing soild waste facility be used? Yes No. I fyes, give name d. Will any wastes not go into a sewage disposal system or into a sanitary landfill? | ☐ Yes ☐ No |
| 17. Will the project involve the disposal of solid waste? | |
| a. If yes, what is the anticipated rate of disposal? b. If yes, what is the anticipated site life? years. | tons/moth. |
| 18. Will project use herbicides or pesticides? Yes No | |
| 19 Will project routinely produce odors (more than one hour per day)? | □ No |
| 20. Will project produce operating noise exceeding the local ambient noise levels? | Yes 🗌 No |
| 21 Will project result in an increase in energy use? Yes No | |
| 22 If water supply is from wells, indicate pumping capacity | gaflons/minute. |
| 23 Total anticipated water usage per day | gallons/day. |
| 24. Does project involve Local. State or Federal funding? Yes If Yes, explain | No |

| 25. Approval Required: | | | | | | Submittal |
|---|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| City, Town, Village Board | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | Type Adoption of Code Revisions | Date October 2012 |
| City, Town, Village Planning Board | | Yes | | No | | OCCODE: 2012 |
| City, Town Zoning Board | | Yes | | No | | |
| City, County Health Department | | Yes | Ð | No | | |
| Other Local Agencies | | Yes | | No | | |
| Other Regional Agencies | \boxtimes | Yes | | No | Advisory Review per 239 m by County Planning Department | December 2012 |
| State Agencies | | Yes | | No | | |
| Federal Agencies | | Yes | | No | | |
| C. Zoning and Planning | ng In | form | ation |) | | |
| Does proposed action involve a plan If Yes, indicate decision required: zoning amendment new/revision of master What is the zoning classification(s) or | nning or Z r plan | zoning coning v | decision | | | |
| | | | site if de | veion | ed as permitted by the present zoning? | NC, GC, HC, LI & EPOD's |
| N/A Proposed Action is not si | te spec | ific | Site ir de | velop | ed as permitted by the present zoning? | |
| 4 What is the proposed zoning of the s | site? | No cha | inges pro | pose | ed to zoning classifications of land | |
| What is the maximum potential deve N/A Proposed Action is not sit | lopmen e speci | t of the | site if de | velop | ed as permitted by the proposed zoning? | |
| 6 Is the proposed action consistent wit | th the re | comme | ended use | s in a | adopted local land use plans? | □ No |
| 7 What are the predominant land use(| s) and z | oning o | lassificat | ions v | within a 1/4 mile? | |
| 8. Is the proposed action compatible wi | ith adjoi | ning/su | rrounding | land | uses within a 1/4 mile? Yes [| |
| If the proposed action is the subdivis a. What is the minimum lot. | | | | ots an | e proposed? | |
| 10. Will proposed action required any a | uthoriza | ation(s) | for the fo | rmati | on of sewer or water districts? | ⊠ No |
| 11 Will the proposed action create a de a. If yes, is existing capacit | emand f ly suffici | or any ent to h | communi nandle pre | ty pro ojecte | ivided services (recreation, education, police, fire p | rotection)? 🗌 Yes No 🛭 |
| 12 Will the proposed action result in th a. If yes, is the existing road | | | | | | ⊠ No □ No |
| D. Information Details | | | | | | |
| Attach any additional information as a please discuss such impacts and the | nay be r measur | needed es whic | to clarify th you pro | your | project. If there are, or may be any adverse impact to mitigate or avoid them. | cts associated with your proposal, |
| E. Verification | | | | | | |
| I certify that the informal | tion pr | ovide | d above | is t | rue to the best of my knowledge. | |
| Applicant/Sponsor Name Tow | n of F | arma | | | Date | |
| Signature | | | | _ | Title: | |
| If the action is in the Coastal proceeding with this assessm | Area, ent. | and | you ar | e a : | state agency, complete the Coastal A | ssessment Form before |

Part 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

Responsibility of Lead Agency

General Information (Read Carefully)

- In completing the form the reviewer should be guided by the question: Have my responses and determinations been reasonable? The reviewer is not expected to be an expert environmental analyst.
- The Examples provided are to assist the reviewer by showing types of impacts and wherever possible the threshold of magnitude that would trigger a
 response in column 2. The examples are generally applicable throughout the State and for most situations. But, for any specific project or site other
 examples and/or lower thresholds may be appropriate for a Potential Large Impact response, thus requiring evaluation in Part 3.
- The impacts of each project, on each site, in each locality, will vary. Therefore, the examples are illustrative and have been offered as guidance. They do not constitute an exhaustive list of impacts and thresholds to answer each question.
- The number of examples per question does not indicate the importance of each question.
- In identifying impacts, consider long term, short term and cumulative effects.

| ļ | |
|---|---|
| İ | instructions (Read carefully) |
| | a Answer each of the 20 questions in PART 2. Answer Yes if there will be any impact. b. Maybe answers should be considered as Yes answers. c. If answering Yes to a questions then check the appropriate box (column 1 or 2) to indicate the potential size of the impact. If the impact threshold equals or exceeds any example provided, check column 2. If impact will occur but threshold is lower than example, check column 1. d. Identify that an impact will be potentially large (column 2) does not mean that it is also necessarily significant. Any large impact must be evaluated in PART 3 to determine significance. Identifying an impact in column 2 simply asks that it be looked at further. e. If reviewer has doubt about size of the impact then consider the impact as potentially large and proceed to PART 3. f. If a potentially large impact checked in column 2 can be mitigated by change(s) in the project to a small to moderate impact, also check the Yes box in column 3. A No response indicates that such a reduction is not possible. This must be explained in PART 3. |

| IMPACT ON LAND 1. Will the proposed action result in a physical change on the project site? □ NO □ YES | 1 Small to Moderate Impact | 2 Potential Large Impact | l N | 3 an Impact Mitigated b oject Char | у |
|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|---|----|
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Any construction on slopes of 15% or greater, (15 foot rise per 100 foot of length), or where the general slopes in the project area exceed 10%. | | | _ Y | es 🗆 | No |
| Construction on land where the depth to the water table is less than 3 feet. | | | [] Y | es 🔲 | No |
| Construction of paved parking area for 1,000 or more vehicles. | | | □ Y | es 🗀 | No |
| Construction on land where bedrock is exposed or generally within 3 feet of existing ground surface. | | | □ Y | es 🛚 | No |
| Construction that will continue for more than 1 year or involve more than one phase or stage. | | | □ Y | es 📑 | No |
| Excavation for mining purposes that would remove more than 1,000 tons of natural material (i.e. rock or soil) per year. | | | | es 🗆 | No |
| Construction or expansion of a sanitary landfill. | | | □ Y€ | es 🔲 | No |
| Construction is in a designated floodway. | | | □ Ye | es 🗆 | No |
| Other impacts: | | | ☐ Ye | es 🗌 | No |
| Will there be an effect to any unique or unusual land forms found on the site? (i e , cliffs, dunes, geological formations, etc.) | | | | | |
| Specific land forms: | | | ☐ Ye | s 🗆 | No |

| IMPACT ON WATER 3 Whit the proposed action affect any water body designated as protected? (Under Articles 15, 24, 25 of the Environmental Conservation Law, EC) NO UYES | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | | | mpac gated ct Cha | by | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----|------|-------------------------|----|---|
| Examples that would apply to column 2 | | | | | | | |
| Developable area of site contains a protected water body | | | 10 | Yes | | No | Ī |
| Dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from channel of a protected stream | | 0 | | Yes | | | |
| Extension of utility distribution facilities through a protected water body | | | 10 | Yes | | No | |
| Construction in a designated freshwater or tidal wetland | | | | Yes | | | |
| Other impacts | | | | Yes | | | |
| Will proposed action affect any non-protected existing or new body of water? NO YES | | | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 A 10% increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Construction of a body of water that exceeds 10 acres of surface area | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Other impacts | | | | Yes | | No | - |
| 5 Will proposed Action affect surface or groundwater quality or quantity? ☑ NO □ YES | | | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action will require a discharge permit | | | | Yes | | No | ļ |
| Proposed Action requires use of a source of water that does not have approval to serve proposed (project) action | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Proposed Action requires water supply from wells with greater than 45 gallons per minute pumping capacity. | 0 | | | Yes | | No | |
| Construction or operation causing any contamination of a water supply system | | | | Yes | | No | l |
| Proposed Action will adversely affect groundwater. | | | 0 | Yes | | No | ١ |
| Liquid effluent will be conveyed off the site to facilities which presently do not exist or have inadequate capacity | | | 0 | Yes | | No | |
| Proposed Action would use water in excess of 20,000 gallons per day | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Proposed Action will likely cause siltation or other discharge into an existing body of water to the extent that there will be an obvious visual contrast to the natural conditions | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Proposed Action will require the storage of petroleum or chemical products greater than 1,100 gailons. | - | | | Yes | D | No | |
| Proposed Action will allow residential uses in areas without water and/or sewer services. | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Proposed Action locates commercial and/or industrial uses which may require new or expansion of existing water treatment and/or storage facilities. | | | | Yes | | No | |
| Other impacts: | | | | r'es | | No | |
| Will proposed action after drainage flow or patterns, or surface water runoff? | | | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would change flood water flows | | | П | /es | П | No | |

| | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | 1 | Can In Mitig | ated b | у |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------|--------|----|
| Proposed Action may cause substantial erosion. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Proposed Action is incompatible with existing drainage patterns. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Proposed Action will allow development in a designated floodway. | | | D | Yes | | No |
| Other impacts: | | | | Yes | | No |
| IMPACT ON AIR | | | | | | |
| 7. Will proposed action affect air quality? | | ę. | | | | |
| Examples that would apply in column 2 • Proposed Action will induce 1,000 or more vehicle trips in any given hour. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Proposed Action will result in the incineration of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Emission rate of total contaminants will exceed 5 lbs. per hour or a heat source producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour. | | | 0 | Yes | | No |
| Proposed action will allow an increase in the amount of land committed to industrial use. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Proposed action will allow an increase in the density of industrial development within existing industrial areas. | | | 0 | Yes | | No |
| Other impacts: | | | | Yes | | No |
| IMPACT ON PLANTS AND ANIMALS | | | | | | |
| 8 Will Proposed Action affect any threatened or endangered species? NO PS | | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Reduction of one or more species listed on the New York or Federal list, using the site, over or near site or found on the site. | 0 | 0 | | Yes | | No |
| Removal of any portion of a critical or significant wildlife habitat. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Application of pesticide or herbicide more than twice a year, other than for agricultural purposes. | | | | Yes | | No |
| Other impacts: | | | | Yes | | No |
| Will Proposed Action substantially affect non-threatened or non-endangered species? | | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action would substantially interfere with any resident or migratory fish, shellfish or wildlife species. | 0 | 0 | | Yes | | No |
| Proposed Action requires the removal of more than 10 acres of mature forest (over 100 years of age) or other locally important vegetation. | | | | Yes | | No |
| IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL LAND RESOURCES | | ĺ | | | | |
| 10. Will the Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources? 🛛 NO 📗 YES | | ! | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 The proposed action would sever, cross or limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc.) | _ | | | Yes | | No |

| | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | Mit | Impact be igated by ect Change |
|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------------|
| Construction activity would excavate or compact the soil profile of agricultural land | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| The proposed action would irreversibly convert more than 10 acres of agricultural land or, if located in an Agricultural District, more than 2.5 acres of agricultural land | | | ☐ Yes | □ N0 |
| The proposed action would disrupt or prevent installation of agricultural land management systems (e.g., subsurface drain lines, outlet ditches, strip cropping); or create a need for such measures (e.g., cause a farm field to drain poorly due to increased runoff). | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Other impacts | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| IMPACT ON AESTHETIC RESOURCES | | | | |
| 11 Will proposed action affect aesthetic resources? NO YES (If necessary, use the Visual EAF Addendum in Section 617.21 Appendix B.) | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed land uses, or project components obviously different from or in sharp contrast to current surrounding land use patterns, whether man-made or natural. | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Proposed land uses, or project components visible to users or aesthetic resources which will eliminate or significantly reduce their enjoyment of the aesthetic qualities of that resource. | ۵ | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Project components that will result in the elimination or significant screening of scenic views known to be important to the area | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Other impacts: | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| IMPACT ON HISTORIC AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL RESOURCES 12 Will Proposed Action impact any site or structure of historic, pre-historic or | | | | |
| paleontological importance? NO YES | | | | Į |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action occurring wholly or partially within or substantially contiguous to any facility or site listed on the State or National Register of historic places | 0 | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Any impact to an archaeological site or fossil bed located within the project site. | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Proposed Action will occur in an area designated as sensitive for archaeological | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| sites on the NYS Site Inventory. Other impacts: | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| IMPACT ON OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION | | | | |
| Will Proposed Action affect the quantity or quality of existing or future open spaces or recreational opportunities? | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 The permanent foreclosure of a future recreational opportunity | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| A major reduction of an open space important to the community | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Other impacts | | _ | ☐ Yes | □ No |

| | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | Mitig | 3 mpact be lated by it Change |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| IMPACT ON CRITICAL ENVIRONMENTAL AREAS | | | | |
| 14. Will Proposed Action impact the exceptional or unique characteristics of a critical environmental area (CEA) established pursuant to subdivision 6 NYCRR 617.14(g)? ☑ NO □ YES | | | | |
| List the environmental characteristics that caused the designation of the CEA. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 | | | o | |
| Proposed Action to locate with the CEA? | | | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource? | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Proposed Action will result in a reduction in the quality of the resource? | | | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| Proposed Action will impact the use, function or enjoyment of the resource? | | | ☐ Yes | ☐ No |
| Other impacts: | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION | | | | ì |
| 15. Will there be an effect to existing transportation systems ? 🛛 🔯 NO 💮 🗀 YES | | | | } |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 • Alteration of present patterns of movement of people and/or goods. | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Proposed Action will result in major traffic problems. | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Other impacts: | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | | | |
| IMPACT ON ENERGY | | | | |
| 16 Will proposed action affect the community's sources of fuel or energy supply? ☑ NO ☐ YES | | 1 | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 • Proposed Action will cause a greater than 5% increase in the use of any form of energy in the municipality. | _ | 0 | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Proposed Action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two family residences or to serve a major commercial or industrial use. | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| Other impacts. | | | ☐ Yes | □ No |
| | | | | |

| NOISE AND ODOR IMPACTS 17. Will there be objectionable odors, noise, or vibration as a result of the Proposed Action? NO □ VES | Small to Moderate Impact | Potential Large Impact | | 3 an Impa Mitigated roject Ch | by |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------|--|-------|
| Examples that would apply to column 2 • Blasting within 1,500 feet of a hospital, school or other sensitive facility | | | | Yes [| □ No |
| Odors will occur routinely (more than one hour per day) | | | | Yes [|] No |
| Proposed Action will produce operating holse exceeding the local ambient noise levels for noise outside of structures. | | | | Yes [|] No |
| Proposed Action will remove natural barriers that would act as a noise screen | | | | Yes [|] No |
| Other impacts: | | | | Yes [|] No |
| IMPACT ON PUBLIC HEALTH | | | | | |
| 18. Will Proposed Action affect public health and safety? | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 Proposed Action may cause a risk of explosion or release of hazardous substances (i.e. oil, pesticides, chemicals, radiation, etc.) in the event of accident or upset conditions, or there may be a chronic low level discharge or emission. | 0 | מ | n | es [|] No |
| Proposed Action may result in the burial of "hazardous wastes" in any form (i.e., toxic, poisonous, highly reactive, radioactive, irritating, infectious, etc.) | П | | n v | 'es [|] No |
| Storage facilities for one million or more gallons of liquefied natural gas or other flammable liquids. | | | - Y | es [|] No |
| Proposed Action may result in the excavation or other disturbance within 2,000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste | ם | | O Y | es [|] No |
| • Other impacts | | | _ Y | es |] No |
| IMPACT ON GROWTH AND CHARACTER OF COMMUNITY OR NEIGHBORHOOD | | | | | |
| 19 Will proposed action affect the character of the existing community? ☑ NO ☐ YES | | | | | |
| Examples that would apply to column 2 The permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located is likely to grow by more than 5%. | | П | □ Y | es 🗀 | No |
| The municipal budget for capital expenditures or operating services will increase by more than 5% per year as a result of this project | | | □ Y | es 🗆 | No |
| Proposed Action will conflict with official adopted plans or goals. | | | □ Y | es 🗆 | No |
| Proposed Action will cause a change in the density of land use | | | □ Y | es 🗆 | No |
| Proposed Action will replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures or areas of historic importance to the community. | | | ☐ Y | es 🗆 | No |
| Development will create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire, etc.) | | | □ Y6 | es 🗀 | No |
| Proposed Action will set an important precedent for future projects. | | | □ Ye | es 🗆 | No |
| Proposed Action will create or eliminate employment. | | | □ Ye | es 🗆 | No |
| Other impacts: | | | ☐ Ye | es [] | No |
| 0 Is there, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environme | etel imposts? | | | |) YES |

20 Is there, or is there likely to be, public controversy related to potential adverse environmental impacts?

If any action in Part 2 is identified as a potential large impact or if you cannot determine the magnitude of impact, proceed to Part 3

Part 3 -- EVALUATION OF THE IMPORTANCE OF IMPACTS

Responsibility of Lead Agency

Part 3 must be prepared if one or more impact(s) is considered to be potentially large, even if the impact(s) may be mitigated.

Instructions

Discuss the following for each impact identified in Column 2 of Part 2:

- Briefly describe the impact.

 Describe (if applicable) how the impact could be mitigated or reduced to a small to moderate impact by project change(s).

 Based on the information available, decide if it is reasonable to conclude that this impact is important.

To answer the question of importance, consider:

- The probability of the impact occurring
 The duration of the impact
- Its irreversibility, including permanently lost resources of value
- Whether the impact can or will be controlled
- · The regional consequence of the impact
- Its potential divergence from local needs and goals
- Whether known objections to the project relate to this impact.

(Continue on attachments)

Environmental Assessment Form

Additional Details

PART 1 - PROJECT INFORMATION

Site Description

Buildings, Sites, or Districts Listed on the State or National Register of Historic Places 6.

Two cobblestone houses have been listed on the State or National Register of Historic Places. One house is located on Manitou Road south of its intersection with East Parma Center Road; the other is located on Curtis Road east of its intersection with Bennet Road.

10. Hunting, Fishing or Shellfishing Opportunities

Privately held wooded and open parcels of land are used for hunting purposes by residents of the Town of Parma. Fishing opportunities are available along the streams and lakeshore areas, particularly along Salmon Creek.

11. Threatened or Endangered Species

Correspondence from David C. Woodruff, Senior Wildlife Biologist for NYSDEC Region 8, received in conjunction with the environmental review of comprehensive zoning revisions in 1998, indicates the following threatened, endangered or rare species have been identified in the Town: Black Tern, Pirate Perch, Lake Chubsucker, and Pawpaw.

Unique or Unusual Land Forms

The Town of Parma contains approximately 3.5 miles of Lake Ontario shoreline. According to The Town of Parma Master Plan Update 1989, the NYS Department of Environmental Conservation has identified this area as a "coastal erosion hazard area" based on the fragile nature of the landforms along the shoreline.

17. **Public Utilities**

The majority of the Town has public water service. Sanitary sewer services are limited to areas within and immediately adjacent to the Village of Hilton.

20. Disposal Sites

According to the 1989 Master Plan, there are four NYSDEC inactive hazardous waste sites in the Town of Parma. These include:

Trimmer Road Landfill, an inactive landfill which accepted both municipal and

industrial waste;

- Monarch Sand and Gravel, located on Pine Hill Road, which has been active since 1932 and was used for disposal of construction debris at least through 1989;
- Parma 6, located at 4618 Ridge Road, an inactive site used as a landfill for the disposal
 of fly ash from Rochester Gas and Electric; and,
- Burritt Road Site, formerly a sand and gravel pit used by a construction company.

PART 2 - PROJECT IMPACTS AND THEIR MAGNITUDE

The proposed amendments would not change the overall density of development permitted in any district and would not affect the character of any district. Site specific environmental review will continue to be required by the Town for new development that may be proposed in accordance with the revised regulations.